

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/646,278	08/22/2003	Natalie A. Solov	020354 074P2	9343	
33805	7590 08/12/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
WEGMAN, HESSLER & VANDERBURG 6055 ROCKSIDE WOODS BOULEVARD			YOON, TAE H		
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND, OH 44131			1714		
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/646,278	SOLOV ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tae H. Yoon	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days all apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1,3 and 6-11 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2, 4 and 5 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 						
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Elrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmant(c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	•				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	-, <u> </u>					

Ki

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050809

Application/Control Number: 10/646,278

Art Unit: 1714

The recited "a water soluble cation <u>such as</u> Na, K, Ca or NH₄" in claims 2, 4, 5, 13, 14 and 15 is objected since it is a range within a range, and separate claims with said narrower limitation is suggested.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al (US 4,872,995) and Fiarman et al (US 5,294,686).

Chen et al teach the instant copolymers except the use of a chain transfer agent, hypophosphorous acid or its salts thereof, at col. 6 and in examples. Chen et al also teach that a molecular weight of 1,500 to 25,000 is most desirable at col. 5, lines 21-27. The use of a chain transfer agent in order to regulate molecular weights is well known practice and Fiarman et al teach the use of the instant chain transfer agent, hypophosphorous acid or its salts thereof, in obtaining low molecular weight acrylic acid copolymers in examples and at col. 1, lines 10-15 and col. 2, lines 15. Fiarman et al teach that at least 90 percent of the hypophosphorous acid chain transfer agent is incorporated into the polymer backbone at col. 2, lines 50-55.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to utilize the hypophosphorous acid chain transfer agent of Fiarman et al in obtaining copolymers of Chen et al since Chen et al teach that a molecular weight of

Application/Control Number: 10/646,278

Art Unit: 1714

1,500 to 25,000 is most desirable and since Fiarman et al teach the use of the instant chain transfer agent, hypophosphorous acid or its salts thereof, in obtaining low molecular weight (below 10,000) acrylic acid copolymers absent showing otherwise.

Claims 1, 3 and 6-11 are allowed.

Claims 2, 4 and 5 are objected since it recites a range within a range, but would be allowable if rewritten in dependent form.

Fiarman, et al teach a process of adding the chain transfer agent before adding monomers which differs from the instant process. Blankenship et al (US 5,256,746) teach cofeed solutions of acrylic acid, an initiator and a chain transfer agent in example 1, but does not address the instant feed rates.

The examiner cannot find a copy of JP-93660 and thus it has been crossed-out from the PTO-1449.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1714

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tae H Yoon

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714

THY/August 9, 2005